

# Categorical account of composition methods in logic

Tomáš Jakl, Dan Marsden, Nihil Shah

University of Oxford

July 5, 2023



# The setting

Let  $\sigma$  be a finite set of relational symbols with positive arities, we can define a category of  $\sigma$ -structures  $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$ :

- Objects are  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \{R^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{R \in \sigma})$  where  $R^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq A^r$  for  $r$ -ary relation symbol  $R$ .
- Morphisms  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are relation preserving set functions  $f : A \rightarrow B$

$$R^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_r) \Rightarrow R^{\mathcal{B}}(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_r))$$

- Embeddings  $f : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are injective functions which reflect relations:

$$R^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_r) \Leftarrow R^{\mathcal{B}}(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_r))$$

Model theorists look at structures with the “blurry lens” of expressibility in a logic  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv^{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{B} := \forall \phi \in \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A} \models \phi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} \models \phi$$

$$\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow^{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{B} := \forall \phi \in \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A} \models \phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \models \phi$$

Used to show inexpressibility of properties in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Typically the relations  $\Rightarrow^{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\equiv^{\mathcal{L}}$  are characterized by a model-comparison game, e.g. Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé, pebble game, modal bisimulation game.

To mitigate difficulty in direct game-theoretic arguments for proving inexpressibility higher-level techniques such as locality and *composition methods* are employed.

# Composition Methods

Structures are built up recursively from applications of operations on a collection of basic structures.

For a logic  $\mathcal{L}$ , the operations  $H$  must preserve  $\equiv^{\mathcal{L}}$ , i.e. must satisfy a Feferman-Vaught-Mostowski theorem:

## Formulation

For an operation  $H: \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \cdots \times \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and logics  $\mathcal{J}_1, \dots, \mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{J}$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_i \equiv^{\mathcal{J}_i} \mathcal{B}_i \text{ implies } H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n) \equiv^{\mathcal{J}} H(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)$$

with  $\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$  where  $\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}$  are relevant categories of models.

Key ingredient in Courcelle's theorems and other algorithmic metatheorems.

# A categorical approach

Some of the first such instances of FVM theorems were showing that  $\equiv_{\text{FOL}}$  is preserved by (co)products

## Theorem (Feferman+Vaught 1959, Mostowski 1952)

If  $\mathcal{A}_i \equiv_{\text{FOL}} \mathcal{B}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , then

- *Products:*  $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \equiv_{\text{FOL}} \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\prod_i \mathcal{A}_i \equiv_{\text{FOL}} \prod_i \mathcal{B}_i$
- *Coproducts:*  $\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2 \equiv_{\text{FOL}} \mathcal{B}_1 \uplus \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\coprod_i \mathcal{A}_i \equiv_{\text{FOL}} \coprod_i \mathcal{B}_i$

How can we prove such FVM theorems categorically?

Operations on models are functorial, logical equivalence is comonadic, and distributive laws witness their interaction.

# Logical equivalence is comonadic

The game comonad framework has shown that a plethora of model comparison games can be encoded as comonads over categories of models

- Pebble games for finite variable logic (Abramsky+Dawar+Wang 17)
- Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé games for first-order logic up to quantifier rank  $\leq k$
- modal logic graded by depth
- guarded logics (Abramsky+Marsden 20)
- hybrid/bounded logics (Abramsky+Marsden 21)
- logics with generalised quantifiers (O'Conghaile+Dawar 20)
- logics with restricted conjunction (Montacute+S 22)

All of these are examples of arboreal covers which are studied axiomatically in Abramsky+Reggio 21

# Running Example: Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé comonad and $\uplus$

Given a  $\sigma$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can create  $\sigma$ -structure  $\mathbb{E}_k\mathcal{A}$  on non-empty sequences of elements in  $A$  of length  $\leq k$

Let  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{E}_k\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  return the last move of the play  $[a_1, \dots, a_n] \mapsto a_n$ .

$$R^{\mathbb{E}_k\mathcal{A}}(s_1, \dots, s_r) \Leftrightarrow \forall i, j \in [r], s_i \text{ is a prefix of } s_j \text{ or } s_j \text{ is a prefix of } s_i \\ \text{and } R^{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(s_1), \dots, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(s_r))$$

Comultiplication  $\delta : \mathbb{E}_k\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_k\mathbb{E}_k\mathcal{A}$  where

$$\delta([a_1, \dots, a_n]) = [[a_1], [a_1, a_2], \dots, [a_1, \dots, a_n]]$$

Universe of  $\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2 = \{(i, a_i) \mid i = \{1, 2\}, a_i \in A_i\}$  and obvious relations:

$$R^{\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2}((i_1, a_1), \dots, (i_r, a_r)) \Leftrightarrow \exists i \in \{1, 2\} \forall j \in [r], i_j = i \\ \text{and } R^{\mathcal{A}_i}(a_1, \dots, a_r)$$

Kleisli category  $\mathbf{Kl}(\mathbb{E}_k)$  for  $\mathbb{E}_k$ ,

- Objects same as  $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$ ,
- Morphisms of type  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Kl}(\mathbb{E}_k)$  are morphisms of type  $\mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{R}(\sigma)$ ,
- Composition  $g \cdot f = g \circ \mathbb{E}_k(f) \circ \delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ , and identity  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$

Will use  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B}$  to denote there exists a Kleisli morphism  $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow_{\mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B}$

## Theorem

- $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbf{FOL}_k} \mathcal{B}$

$\exists+\mathbf{FOL}_k$  is positive existential first-order logic up to rank  $\leq k$

Observe that for every  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$  there is a morphism

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2}: \mathbb{E}_k(\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\kappa([(i_1, a_1), \dots, (i_n, a_n)]) = \begin{cases} [a_j \mid i_j = 1] & \text{if } i_n = 1 \\ [a_j \mid i_j = 2] & \text{if } i_n = 2 \end{cases}$$

If  $\mathcal{A}_i \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B}_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $f_i: \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_i$

$$\mathbb{E}_k(\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2}} \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}_2 \xrightarrow{f_1 \uplus f_2} \mathcal{B}_1 \uplus \mathcal{B}_2$$

So  $\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B}_1 \uplus \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbf{FOL}_k} \mathcal{B}_1 \uplus \mathcal{B}_2$

## Theorem (Abstract $\exists^+$ -FVM Theorem)

Given

- operation  $H: \mathcal{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,
- for all  $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ ,  
 $\kappa_{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n}: \mathbb{D}(H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C}_1(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \mathbb{C}_n(\mathcal{A}_n))$

Then:

$$\mathcal{A}_i \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbb{C}_i} \mathcal{B}_i \text{ implies } H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n) \Rightarrow_{\exists+\mathbb{D}} H(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)$$

For the comonad  $\mathbb{E}_k$ , the morphism  $\kappa_{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n}$  decomposes a Spoiler strategy on  $H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$  in the one-sided EF-game to the individual Spoiler strategies on the component structures  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ .

If this translation  $\kappa_{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n}$  satisfies additional axioms, it is also a way of talking about strategies in the ordinary EF game and bijective EF game.

$\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\# \mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B}$  means there exists an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbf{KI}(\mathbb{E}_k)$

## Theorem

- $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\# \mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \equiv_{\# \mathbf{FOL}_k} \mathcal{B}$

If  $f_i: \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_i$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{KI}(\mathbb{E}_k)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $f_1 \uplus f_2 \circ \kappa$  is an isomorphism. Follows from  $\kappa$  being Kleisli law

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}_1} \uplus \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}_2} = \kappa \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2} \quad \delta_{\mathcal{A}_1} \uplus \delta_{\mathcal{A}_2} \circ \kappa = \kappa \circ \mathbb{E}_k \kappa \circ \delta_{\mathcal{A}_1 \uplus \mathcal{A}_2}$$

## Theorem (Abstract #-FVM theorem)

Given

- operation  $H: C_1 \times \dots \times C_n \rightarrow D$ ,
- Kleisli law  $\kappa: \mathbb{D}(H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C}_1(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \mathbb{C}_n(\mathcal{A}_n))$

Then:

$$\mathcal{A}_i \equiv_{\# \mathbb{C}_i} \mathcal{B}_i \text{ implies } H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n) \equiv_{\# \mathbb{D}} H(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)$$

Abstractly, objects of  $\mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{E}_k)$  are morphisms of the form  $\alpha: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}$ .

Cofree coalgebra functor  $G^{\mathbb{E}_k}: \mathcal{R}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{E}_k)$  where  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto (\mathbb{E}_k \mathcal{A}, \delta_{\mathcal{A}})$

Concretely,  $\mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{E}_k)$  represent forest-shaped covers of objects in  $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$  of height  $\leq k$ . Subcategory of paths  $(P, \pi) \in \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{E}_k)$

$\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B}$  if there exists a span  $G^{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{A}) \xleftarrow{f} (X, \chi) \xrightarrow{g} G^{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{B})$  in  $\mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{E}_k)$  where  $f, g$  are

- Pathwise embeddings  $e: (P, \pi) \mapsto (X, \chi)$  implies  $f \circ e: (P, \pi) \mapsto G^{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{A})$
- Open maps, a path which can be extended in the codomain, can be extended in the domain.

## Theorem

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\mathbb{E}_k} \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \equiv_{\mathbf{FOL}_k} \mathcal{B}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is first-order logic up to quantifier rank  $\leq k$  without equality.

## Theorem (Abstract FVM theorem)

Given

- operation  $H$  that preserves embeddings,
- Kleisli law  $\kappa: \mathbb{D}(H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C}_1(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \mathbb{C}_n(\mathcal{A}_n))$  satisfying the axiom:
  - for all paths  $(P, \pi) \in \mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{D})$  and coalgebras  $(\mathcal{A}_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{C}_i)$  where

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{f} & H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n) \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\
 \mathbb{D}(P) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}(f)} \mathbb{D}(H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)) \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H(\mathbb{C}_1(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \mathbb{C}_n(\mathcal{A}_n))
 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

commutes,  $f$  has 'minimal' decomposition as  $f = H(e_1, \dots, e_n) \circ e_0$  where  $e_i: (P_i, \pi_i) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbf{EM}(\mathbb{C}_i)$

Then:

$$\mathcal{A}_i \equiv_{\mathbb{C}_i} \mathcal{B}_i \text{ implies } H(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n) \equiv_{\mathbb{D}} H(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)$$

First stated on via a lifted operation  $\hat{H}$  on coalgebras. Dualising ideas from commutative monad theory allowed us to restate the axioms in terms of  $H$ .

# Concluding remarks

- The abstract FVM theorems with  $H = \mathbf{Id}$  demonstrate logic of  $\mathbb{D}$  is a fragment of the logic of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- Using relative comonads, we obtain an abstract FVM theorem for logics with interpreted symbols, e.g. equality, connectivity predicate, second-order logics.
- Logics captured by an arboreal cover have an FVM theorem over the categorical product.
- Future work to address the more general form of FVM theorem where the indexing set is a structure itself.